

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

**CWP No. 21139 of 2017
Date of decision: 18.09.2017**

Hoshiar Singh and Others

...petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite the junior of Ravi Parkash, JBT Teacher, being the same as in the case of the petitioners, i.e. that the junior is one Pooja, JBT Teacher, the said Ravi Parkash has been given arrears w.e.f. 13.01.2006, (vide the orders Annexure P-10), whereas the petitioners have been given the said arrears w.e.f. 22.09.2006 (vide the orders Annexure P-11).

The petitioners having got a legal notice issued on their behalf to the respondents, a copy of which is stated to be annexed as Annexure P-13, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to go into the grievance of the petitioners and to take a decision on the said legal notice (if not already taken), by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 months receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In the meanwhile, if by any order of this Court, orders for restrictions of arrears in all such cases are passed, the said orders would

also apply to the case of the petitioners.

If speaking orders have already been passed, rejecting the case of the petitioners, then only a short order need be passed now, annexing therewith the speaking order already passed (if any).

September 18, 2017

Poonam (II)

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No