

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 21041 of 2017
Decided on : 18.09.2017**

Vineet

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

PRESENT: Mr. Ramesh Sindhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia* for quashing of the order dated 28.07.2016 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal (for brevity 'the CAT'), Chandigarh Bench in OA No. 060/00651/2016, whereby, the Tribunal had dismissed the original application of the petitioner.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that certain disputed questions of fact are required to be proved by leading cogent evidence before the appropriate Forum, therefore, he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies by way of civil suit or any other remedy, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies available to him, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

September 18, 2017

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No