

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2104 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.11.2017

Muskaan Verma

... Petitioner

VS.

UOI & Ors.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Advocate for UOI

Mr. Ishan Bhardwaj, Advocate for PU

Mr. Amit Khatkar, Advocate for respondent No.4

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner is a resident of Chandigarh who has been admitted to B.A.LL.B. Five Year Integrated Course by the Panjab University in its Regional Centre at Hoshiarpur. The petitioner has been admitted as a General Category candidate. She, however, seeks a direction for her transfer to Panjab University Campus at Chandigarh on the ground that there are two additional seats meant for single girl child in terms of the Rules/Instructions of the University out of which one seat is still lying unfilled. The claim is based upon an interim order dated 16.12.2016 passed by a learned Single Judge in CWP No.12711 of 2016 (Seerat Saldi vs. Union of India & Ors.).

(2) On 04.10.2017, learned counsel for the respondent-University was asked to have specific instructions to explore the possibility whether the petitioner can be allowed to attend classes in the University Campus at Chandigarh as she indeed is a student of respondent-University though at Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur?

(3) In deference thereto, counsel for the respondent-University states that such a decision can be taken by the competent authority, namely, the Syndicate only to whom the petitioner has not approached so far.

(4) In the light of the stand taken by the University authorities that the competent authority can be asked to consider the petitioner's request in accordance with the Rules/Instructions/Regulations/Statute, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation to the Syndicate of the University within one week and if such a representation is made, the University authorities are directed to put up the same before the Syndicate who shall consider the petitioner's request sympathetically and objectively.

(5) Let an appropriate decision be taken within one month from the date of receipt of such representation.

(6) **Dasti.**

(Surya Kant)
Judge

28.11.2017
vishal shonkar

(Sudhir Mittal)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes
No