

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.124

Civil Writ Petition No.21032 of 2017
DECIDED ON: September 15, 2017

RAMESH KUMAR KALRA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD. AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ particularly in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the name of the petitioner for promotion to the post of P.A. from deemed date of promotion as P.A. w.e.f. April 12, 2012 on which Sh. D.V. Mahandiratta (junior to petitioner) was promoted along with consequently benefits thereof, as well as arrears of pay, pay fixation, promotion the higher post of Private Secretary by considering the name of my client over and above Sh. D.V. Mahandiratta in the seniority list of P.A., revised pension and revised pensionary benefits accordingly, along with interest upto date @ 18% per annum.

Civil Writ Petition No.21032 of 2017

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a legal notice was issued to respondent No.1 on June 06, 2017 (P-7) but till date neither any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned authority.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide legal notice dated June 06, 2017 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-The Managing Director, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd., Vidyut Sadan, Sector 6, Panchkula to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated June 06, 2017 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order that too, after giving a patience hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

September 15, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**