

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21023 of 2017

Date of Decision: September 15, 2017

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in respect of redressal of the grievance of the petitioner, he has made a representation to respondent no.2 herein, a translated copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition. (Though the translated version does not carry a date on it, the photocopy of the vernacular version shows it to be dated 25.07.2016). However, no decision is stated to have been taken so far.

Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on what has been stated in the petition, it is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2), dated 25.07.2016, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 15, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: no