

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 21001 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.11.2017

Vinod Goyal

.. Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr. Manoj Dhankhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The present petition was filed with a grievance that arguments in the matter pertaining to grant of CLU for use of land for commercial purpose were heard way back on 12.1.2017, order was reserved and the decision has not been communicated till date.

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 filed in court is taken on record.

In the short reply filed today, a communication (Annexure R-1) has been attached, vide which the application of the petitioner has been rejected, as there is no policy for grant of CLU for commercial purpose in the area in dispute.

In view of the aforesaid development, the present petition is disposed of, however, with liberty to the petitioner to avail of his appropriate remedy against the communication (Annexure R-1).

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

10.11.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No