

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20977 of 2017

Date of decision: September 15, 2017

Ranjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the civil writ petition, petitioner has sought issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to grant the pension and other pensionary benefits by counting daily wages/work charge service w.e.f. 19.09.1986 to 31.03.1994 i.e. 7 years 6 months and 12 days along with regular service we.f. 01.04.1994 to 30.06.2016. The period of regular service becomes around 22 years as such total length including both the services is about 29 years 8 months. However, the petitioner has been granted the pension only for the regularized period, i.e. 05.03.1998 to 30.06.2016 and has not been given any relief for the work charge period which is illegal, unjust, unfair, arbitrary, discriminatory and is in violation of Article 14 and 16 of Constitution of India as well as the law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though a legal notice dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) was served upon the respondents and but no decision has been taken till date. The learned counsel further

submitted that he feels satisfied in case, the concerned authorities are directed to deal with and dispose of the claim of petitioner set up in the legal notice dated May 25, 2017 (Annexure P-6) within some specified period.

Undisputably, petitioner stood retired on June 30, 2016 on attaining the age of superannuation but till date, the requisite benefit has not been given. Even the legal notice dated May 25, 2017 (Annexure P-6) did not fetch any response.

Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to official respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to take a conscious decision that too by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved of any order passed by the concerned authorities, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

September 15, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No