

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-20965-2017

Date of Decision: September 15, 2017

Jasbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sarbjit Singh Khaira, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits at the outset that the petitioner confines his claim only qua solatium and interest under Section 23(2) and 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. He submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment, dated 06.09.2017, rendered by this Court in **CWP No. 17010 of 2017 [Vinod Kumar v. State of Haryana and others] and other connected matters**. Therefore, the present petition too be disposed of in the same terms.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the court, Mr. P.S. Saini, Advocate, Ms. Rukhsaar Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, and Mr. D.K. Singal, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Copies furnished.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

For, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Court in the case of **Vinod Kumar’s case (supra)**, the present petition too is disposed of in the same terms.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

September 15, 2017
Pkapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES / NO
Whether Reportable:	YES / NO