

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20937 of 2017  
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 14, 2017

JAGDISH SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to pay/release the pension, GPF, leave encashment, gratuity etc. and all other retiral benefits along with 18% interest on delayed payment.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents No. 2 to 4 to decide the legal notice dated 10/16.08.2017 (Annexure P-1), within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in

his legal notice dated 10/16.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to consider the case of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. As far as grant of interest on delayed payment is concerned that may also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench judgment of this Court in case captioned as “***R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.*** In case, concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the the relief claimed, to release the same within a period of one month thereafter.

4. However, in case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 14, 2017,  
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE

***Whether speaking/reasoned***      ***Yes***

***Whether reportable***                      ***Yes/No***