

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

265

CWP-24508-2016
Decided on 24.01.2017

NITRANJAN

....PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana

Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner claims that he is working as DPE at Government Senior Secondary School, Defence Colony, Jind since 02.08.2010 on permanent basis. He applied for the post of Assistant Professor (College Cadre) HES-II in terms of advertisement No. 7 dated 24.01.2014 in the category of Physical Education. At the time of applying for the said post, the age of the petitioner was 44 years, 6 months and 30 days and he fulfilled the eligibility condition regarding age as prescribed in the said advertisement. Thereafter, no recruitment process was initiated regarding the aforementioned advertisement.

On 16.02.2016, another advertisement No. 10 dated 16.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) was published for the recruitment to the posts of Assistant Professor (College Cadre) HES-II wherein in Note-B of advertisement, it has been mentioned that the previous advertisement No. 7 dated 24.01.2014 has

been withdrawn, however, the candidates who had applied in response to earlier advertisement, need not to apply again. In the advertisement No. 10 dated 16.02.2016 (Annexure P-2), fresh cut off date was given.

The petitioner was issued Roll No. and he successfully passed the examination. Now, letter dated 12.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) has been issued vide which his application has been rejected on the ground that he does not fulfill the eligibility condition regarding age.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court today, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Let copy of the petition be supplied during the course of the day. The reply be filed within four weeks.

List on 24.01.2017.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner may be provisionally interviewed at his own risk and responsibility, subject to final outcome of the present writ petition and one post of his category shall be kept reserved for him.

The copy of this order be given to the learned counsel to the petitioner under the signature of the Bench Secretary.

The petitioner was directed to be provisionally considered the result of the petitioner and other candidates which has been produced before me in the sealed cover. As per the same, the petitioner has achieved 11th position in general category and the result has been returned to the officer. In my opinion nothing survive in the present petition.

Petition stands dismissed.

24.01.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

-

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No