

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20922 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 14, 2017

DALVIR KAUR AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release all benefits in respect of deceased-Balkar Singh such as CPF, leave encashment, gratuity, family pension etc. along with interest @ 18% per annum on delayed payment.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 26.07.2017 (Annexure P-1), which was duly served upon the respondents, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 26.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to decide the same, in accordance with law and

the factum of interest on delayed payment be also considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “**A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997 (3) SCT 468**”, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioners are entitled to the relief claimed, to release/disburse the same within a period of next 45 days.

4. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 14, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*