

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CWP No.26126 of 2014

Date of decision: 03.08.2017

Somender Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Rakesh Gupta, Advocate, for
Mr.Vikas Lochab, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Amit Jain, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 5.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 11.12.2014 (Annexure P-3) whereby the proposal for stoppage of 4 annual increments with future effect has been raised.

In the written statement, filed by respondents No.2 to 5, it has been averred that due opportunity shall be granted to the petitioner by serving him a charge-sheet.

Resultantly, in the absence of any finding recorded on the departmental side, to which, the petitioner is necessarily to be put to notice and then only any order can be passed, the present writ petition, at this stage, is premature and the same is dismissed, as such. It is, however, made clear that the respondents shall not impose any such major penalty, except in accordance with law, after holding proper enquiry.

03.08.2017
Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No