

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.116

Civil Writ Petition No.20872 of 2017
DECIDED ON: September 14, 2017

MOTI LAL AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Harnek Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to revise the pay scale of the petitioners w.e.f. January 01, 2006 in view of judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in case of ***State of Punjab and ors. vs. A.P. Sharma and ors.*** and arrear be paid to the petitioners with interest @ 12% from due date.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Copy of petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Civil Writ Petition No.20872 of 2017

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though a legal notice was issued to the respondents on May 11, 2017 (Annexure P-5) but till date neither any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that they feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide legal notice dated May 11, 2017 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.

6. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, State Transport Punjab, Jivan Deep Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the Legal Notice dated May 11, 2017 (Annexure P-5) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in the light of judgments *State of Punjab and ors. vs. A.P. Sharma and ors. 2017(1) SCT 322 as well as Karanvir Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Ors. 2016(4) SCT 715* within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

7. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

September 14, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**