

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**CWP No. 24417 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:09.11.2017**

Kuldip Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate
for respondent-UOI.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

CM-16184-CWP-2017

This is an application for placing on record Annexures P-26 to P-36. Heard. Allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Annexures P-26 to P-36 are taken on record.

Main case.

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has challenged the validity of the communication dated 06.06.2016 whereby petitioner has been placed in S2 (T24) category (Annexure P-15) by which his services have been affected and petitioners prospectus for promotion for higher category has been curtailed. Such communication has been made by competent authority in Assam. Presently petitioner is working in Arunachal Pradesh. No cause of action has accrued to him to file the writ petition in Chandigarh, Punjab and Haryana.

Therefore, writ petition is not maintainable on the ground of territorial jurisdiction. Accordingly, petition stands dismissed. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach appropriate forum.

09.11.2017
pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasons	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No