

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24416 of 2016

Date of Decision: 08.02.2017

Parbhagiya Van Adhikari, Sonapat

... Petitioner

Versus

Shri Ram Kumar (since deceased
and now represented through his
following LRs and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

Notice of motion was issued on November 28, 2016.

The State is the petitioner and as per office note, notices to respondents could not be issued for want of deposit of process fee. Besides, an award passed in 2012 has been challenged by the Government in 2016. I would, therefore, think it unreasonable to disturb the respondent who has secured an award in his favour.

The petition suffers from delay and laches apart from State being non-serious and negligent in pursuing the remedy.

I would, therefore, recall the notice of motion order and dismiss the petition.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

08.02.2017

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No