

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24408 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.02.2017

Sumit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The Permanent Lok Adalat Public Utility Service Panipat and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had approached the Lok Adalat against impugned action of the respondent in not providing him admission in Arya P.G. College in D.R.M. course span over a period of one year.

It is strange that jurisdiction of the permanent Lok Adalat under Section 22(c) of 1987 Act, has been invoked, whereas Colleges do not fall under the category of public utility as per Section 22-A.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was ill advised.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, I am of the view that once semester is already over and vacancy is available, course is on every year and it does not debar the petitioner to apply afresh and no harm and

prejudice would be caused being considering his age. Remedy availed was totally misconceived and without jurisdiction.

No ground for interference is made out.

With the aforementioned observations, writ petition is dismissed.

02.02.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No