

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.20819 of 2017

Date of Decision: 13.09.2017

Ritu Sethi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred during mid-session and not only the studies of the children are affected but the same is contrary to the instructions issued from time to time. Petitioner undertakes that in case, she is transferred during general transfer, she would be satisfied.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anu Chatrath, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

In view of submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and specifically by considering the interest of studies of the daughter of the petitioner, who is stated to be in 10th standard, it is directed that impugned transfer order of the petitioner be not implemented till the next general transfers. Moreover, the petitioner herself has given an undertaking that she will not raise any objection if she is transferred during general transfer.

The petition is disposed of with aforesaid directions.

However, it is made clear that in case, the petitioner has been relieved during this period even then she be kept at the place from where she has been transferred.

13.09.2017
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No