

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20770 of 2017
Date of Decision : 13.09.2017**

Vista Realty Private Limited

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana for respondent No.1.
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 & 3, respectively. Copies of the writ petition furnished.

For, the nature of order, I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits are indeed necessary on behalf of the respondents, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

Vide notification, dated 9.12.2013, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act') a chunk of land including the land holding of the petitioner was acquired for development of sector dividing road 114/115, 103/106, 102/102-A outer road, Sector-99A and greenbelt and sector road of Sector 99-A Gurugram. Final declaration under section 6 was published on 7.12.2014. Vide award, dated 25.11.2016

(Annexure P-3), the Land Acquisition Collector (respondent No.2) assessed

the market value of the acquired land. The limited grievance that the petitioner has is that even though a considerable time has elapsed but it has still not been disbursed the compensation. So much so, the application moved by the petitioner in this regard, dated 20.04.2017, as also its representation, dated 15.06.2017 (Annexure P-4), have failed to evoke any response.

Learned State Counsel submits that the representation of the petitioner, dated 15.06.2017 (Annexure P-4), shall be considered and decided by the authorities within a period of four weeks from today and the necessary orders shall be passed.

The petition is disposed of in above terms.

In the event, the petitioner is not released the requisite compensation or is not held entitled there to, respondent No.2 shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof, in accordance with law.

13.09.2017

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No