

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20764 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 13, 2017

USHA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release remaining amount of gratuity, leave encashment, provident fund etc. to her along with interest @ 18% per annum from the day it become due till its actual payment.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the legal notice dated 21.08.2017 (Annexure P-1), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated 21.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to consider the same in accordance with law,

within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed, to release the same, within a period of next 1 month.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 13, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*