

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 24286 of 2016 (O&M)**

Date of decision : 18.9.2017

Kela Devi

.. Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal  
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill**

**Present:** Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

**Rajesh Bindal, J.**

The only grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner had raised construction on the land acquired for construction of road. In terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.3771 of 2015—Balwan and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 20.9.2016, the petitioner cannot be dispossessed from her house unless any alternate plot is provided and reasonable time is given for raising construction.

Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the direction issued by this Court in Balwan's case (supra) to that effect. However, she submitted that one side of 75 meters wide road has been constructed and house of the petitioner falls in other portion.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, in our view, the petition can be disposed of in terms of the direction issued by this Court in Balwan's case (supra) only pertaining to allotment of alternate plots as

contained in para Nos.23 and 24 of the aforesaid judgment.

Ordered accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)  
Judge

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)  
Judge

18.9.2017  
sharmila

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |