

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

114

CWP No.20669 of 2017
Date of decision:28.9.2017

Anil

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 12.9.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner remained in Chandigarh for more than 2 years before his transfer to Jind on 15.6.2017, vide the order Annexure P-1.

However, now vide the impugned order (Annexure P-2) dated 2.8.2017, all those who were transferred to Jind, including the petitioner, have been sent back to their original places of transfer, i.e. in the case of the petitioner to Chandigarh.

The petitioner, prima facie, seems to be similarly situated as those persons who are referred to as the “second set of employees” in the judgment passed by this Court on 1.9.2017, in a bunch of petitions, the lead case being CWP No.17899 of 2017.

Consequently, upon the petitioner joining back at Chandigarh, the respondents would consider his case in the rationalization process directed to the undertaken vide the aforesaid judgment, within a period of 3

months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

28.9.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No