

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20640-2017

Date of Decision: September 12, 2017

Joginder Pal and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Ms.Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The writ petitioners are said to have filed appeal under Section 25 of the Representation of People Act, 1950 before the Chief Electoral Officer, Punjab-respondent No.2. The appeal was statedly filed on 27.12.2016. The short prayer in this writ petition is to direct the second respondent to decide that appeal in a time-bound manner.

[2] Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and considering the nature of relief sought by them in this writ petition, but without expressing any views on the merits or on the maintainability of the appeal, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to verify the pendency of the appeal, if any, and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

September 12, 2017
meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |