

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20614 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: OCTOBER 24, 2017**

CHARAN SAKHI SHARMA

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash/struck down the action of the respondents not to grant pension to the petitioner in the corresponding scale of ₹37400-67000+AGP ₹9000/- w.e.f. 01.01.2006 like lecturers of Government College, Haryana.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that after the institution of instant petition, the benefits sought through instant petition has already been granted to the petitioner by the respondents and as such, no further action survives therein and the same has rendered infructuous.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**OCTOBER 24, 2017
sonika**

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned* Yes**

***Whether reportable* Yes/No**