

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20551 of 2017
Decided on : 25.09.2017**

Chandigarh Administration and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench,
Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-Chandigarh Administration and others have approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking quashing of the impugned order and judgment dated 26th April, 2017 (appended as Annexure P-1 along with the present writ petition), passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal (for brevity 'the Tribunal'), Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, in OA No. 060/00697/2016.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that certain documents were produced before the Tribunal during the course of hearing, as discernible from para 24 (page 46 of the paperbook) of the judgment dated 26th April, 2017 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Tribunal. It was urged that these documents have not been discussed in the aforesaid impugned judgment. Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioners prayed that the petitioners may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the Tribunal by way of review petition

bringing this fact to the notice of the Tribunal and to point out that pleas arising from these documents have not been dealt with by it.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

September 25, 2017

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No