

CWP-2055-2017

Date of Decision : 03.02.2017

Mohinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

The demand of advance consumption deposit of Rs. 1,67,328/- has been challenged by the petitioner. The petitioner has approached this Court against the notice Annexure P-1 before availing other alternative remedy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents can charge advance consumption charges for a period of only two months.

I have considered the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner but I am of the opinion that the petitioner has got an alternative remedy of approaching the State Regulation Commission/Redressal Commission, constituted for hearing the grievances.

The petition is disposed of as not maintainable, relegating the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy under law. It is, however,

ordered, in the interest of justice, that in case of petitioner availing the alternative remedy and filing an appropriate petition, before the Grievances Redressal Commission or any other competent authority, within a period of one month, the proceedings of disconnection and recovery of advance consumption deposit (ACD) will remain stayed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

03.02.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No