

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20547 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 12, 2017

RISAL SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to re-fixed the pension of the petitioners as per Government Circulars issued time to time on account of increase in pay scale/grade pay as the case of the petitioners are covered in view of the decision dated 22.10.2013 (Annexure P-2) passed in CWP No.25733 of 2012 titled as ***“A.P. Sharma and others v. State of Punjab”*** and order dated 30.09.2016 (Annexure P-3) passed in CWP No. 2866 of 2014, titled as ***“Karanvir Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others”***, along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to

respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 24.10.2016 (Annexure P-4), which was duly served upon the respondents, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 24.10.2016 (Annexure P-4) and to decide the same, particularly in view of **A.P. Sharma's** case (supra) (Annexure P-2) and **Karanvir Singh's** case (supra) (Annexure P-3) and matter with regard to grant of interest on delayed payment be also considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as "**A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997 (3) SCT 468**", within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioners are entitled to the relief claimed, to release/disburse the same within a period of next 45 days.

4. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 12, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**