

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20461 of 2017

Date of Decision: September 08, 2017

Sonam Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Charanpal Singh Bagri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

In respect of the petitioners' grievance, the allegation being that her marks have not been calculated properly while fixing her position in the merit list, she is stated to have made a representation to the second respondent, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition, which has still not been decided so far.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3), by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 08, 2017

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: no