

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20451 of 2017

Date of decision : October 9, 2017

Sikender Singh

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Haryana and others

..... **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:- Mr. S. K. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J (oral).

This petition is filed against the orders passed by the revenue authorities, dismissing the objections raised by the petitioner on Naksha Bey.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has not been given land, according to his share, on the road called Handi Khera road and has submitted that Aruna wife of Bhupinder Jyani has been given the land towards the highway.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record and after examining the orders passed by the revenue authorities, am of the considered opinion that there is no infirmity in the orders as the land has been partitioned well in accordance with law, much less the land of the petitioner is abutting the Handi Khera road and land of Aruna wife of Bhupinder Jyani is also abutting the same road and not at Barnala road

No other point has been raised.

I do not find any merit in the present petition. Therefore,
this petition is dismissed.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

October 9, 2017
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No