

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-24006-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.05.2017**

MANJEET KAUR

.....Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Anshul Gupta, Assistant A.G. Punjab

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Reply not filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has made a prayer that the controversy has already been settled by this Court and the respondents may be directed to decide his representation dated 4.10.2016 (Annexure P15) in the light of judgment passed by this Court.

It comes out that the petitioner is post 1.1.2006 retiree. The prayer is that she is covered by the judgments of this Court in CWP 2866 of 2014 Karanvir Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 30.9.2016 and CWP No.25733 of 2012 A.P.Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and others decided on 22.10.2013.

At this stage, the petition is disposed of with directions to the respondent nos.1 to 3 to consider representation of the petitioner dated 4.10.2016 (Annexure P15) and decide the same by passing a speaking order in light of judgments referred to above.

31.05.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No