

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Date of Decision : 11.09.2017  
CWP No.20425 of 2017**

**Nand Lal**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**2.**

**CWP No.20427 of 2017**

**Shanker Lal**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**3.**

**CWP No.20453 of 2017**

**Smt. Chameli and others**

**.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**4.**

**CWP No.20455 of 2017**

**Sant Lal**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

**Present :** Mr. K. Arun Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner(s).  
Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana for respondent No.1.  
Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 to 5.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

Notice of motion.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and respondents No.2 to 5, respectively. Copies of the writ petitions furnished.

For, the nature of order, I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits are indeed necessary on behalf of the respondents, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of parties, these petitions are being disposed of finally.

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for; directing the respondents to issue an 'instrument of Annuity' to the petitioners in terms of the R.R. Policy dated 07.12.2007 (Annexure P-1). Concededly, land holdings of the petitioners were acquired by the respondents for development and utilization of land as residential and commercial Sectors 9, 10, 11 & 11-A, Fatehabad. In terms of the policy dated 07.12.2007 (Annexure P-1), the claimants/landowners are entitled to annuity. The grievance of the petitioners is that respondents have failed to credit annuity for the year 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 in their respective accounts. So much so, the repeated visits as also the representations served upon the respondents have failed to evoke any response. And no result is insight either. Rather, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are entitled to be awarded suitable interest for the delay that has occurred in disbursing the amount.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the claim of the petitioners as also their representations dated 25.06.2017

(Annexure P-3) shall be considered and decided within four weeks from today and the appropriate orders shall be passed in this regard.

That being so, the petitions are disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents. However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to represent the respondents as regards interest on delayed payment of their claims. And, in the event, any such representations are made the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents in accordance with law.

11.09.2017  
*Manoj Bhutani*

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |