

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20380 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 08, 2017

ABDUL SATTAR AND ORS.

....PETITIONERS..

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Nafeesh Ahmad Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the matter of the petitioners and to grant retirement benefits and all other statutory benefits to petitioners No. 1 to 4, for which they are entitled w.e.f. 1987 to 1991. And further to release the arrears of salary and other benefits of petitioner No.5 in terms of judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in “*Madan Singh & others v. State of Haryana and others, 1988 AIR (SC)2133.*”

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is given to respondent(s), to decide the representation dated 25.07.2017 (Annexure P-1),

within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent(s), to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated 25.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and take a conscious decision, as per Rules and Regulations and keeping in view ***Madan Singh's case (supra)***, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, the petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 08, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***