

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2038 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 02, 2017**

Inder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged two charge-sheets dated 03.07.2013 (Annexure P-3) and 21.03.2014 (Annexure P-8).

It comes out that in both the charge-sheets, punishment order dated 29.01.2014 (Annexure P-6) and order dated 16.03.2011 (Annexure P-11) have been passed respectively, against which appeals are stated to have been pending. The impugned orders have not been challenged in the present petition. Therefore, the present petition is pre-mature and let the petitioner first pursue the remedy of departmental appeals and thereafter, approach this Court.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 02, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No