

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.116

Civil Writ Petition No.20359 of 2017
DECIDED ON: September 15, 2017

SAT PAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Kundu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release all consequential benefits specifically gratuity, leave encashment etc. and to fix the pay of the petitioner as per 7th Pay Commission and arrears w.e.f. January 01, 2012 as well as to grant the deemed promotion with notional financial benefits w.e.f. date Miya Singh (junior to the petitioner), has been promoted as well as to pass appropriate order on the inquiry report dated March 06, 2017 (P-10).

2. Yet, learned counsel for the petitioner feels satisfied in case instant writ petition is treated as representation and a direction be given to respondent No.2 to consider the various grouses ventilated through

Civil Writ Petition No.20359 of 2017

aforesaid writ petition/representation within specified period as well as to release the benefits, if any.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of the Court Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, A.A.G., Haryana accepts the notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Copy of petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

5. In the light of prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, no reply from the side of respondent, if any, required for disposal of instant petition.

6. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General of Consolidation Department, Haryana to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the aforesaid writ petition/representation and dispose of the same by passing a speaking order that too, after giving a patience hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order as well as to take a final decision with regard to inquiry report dated March 06, 2017 (P-10).

7. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

September 15, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**