

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20355 of 2017
Date of decision: 08.09.2017

Nirvat and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Dhanda, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits, at the outset, that the Chairman, National Highway Authority of India, G - 5 & 6, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi is indeed a necessary party to the lis, but has inadvertently not been arrayed as such. He submits that on his oral prayer, the said authority be arrayed as respondent No.4 to the petition.

The prayer is granted. And, the learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to carry out the necessary corrections in the memo of parties in the Court itself.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2, Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate on behalf of respondent No.3 and Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate for Mr. DK Singal, Advocate on behalf of newly added party respondent No.4, accept notice.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision, dated 06.09.2017, rendered by this Court in CWP No.17010 of 2017 [**Vinod Kumar v. State of Haryana and others**]. And, therefore, this petition be also disposed of the in the same terms.

Ordered, accordingly.

September 8, 2017
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO