

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20351 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 08, 2017

RAM CHANDER

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the medical reimbursement bills Rs.2,00,499/- in favour of the petitioner as per the Haryana Govt. Instructions PGI/AIIMS rate with all consequential benefits along with interest @ 18% per annum from the date of accrual till the date of realization

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is given to respondent(s) to decide the representation dated 29.02.2017 (Annexure P-3) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to

respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation 29.02.2017 (Annexure P-3) and take a conscious decision, in accordance with law and matter with regard to grant of interest on delayed payment be also considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “**A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997 (3) SCT 468**”, within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief sought, to release/disburse the same within a period of next 1 month.

4. However, in case, petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 08, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***