

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2391 of 2016

Date of decision: 27.02.2017

Tarsem Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Gursimranjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR. J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking to challenge the order dated 28.01.2015 by which respondent No.2 had imposed a punishment of stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect. Aggreived against the said order, the petitioner filed an appeal which came to be dismissed by the impugned order dated 25.06.2015.

When the case came up for preliminary hearing, the basic grievance urged is that the appeal has been rejected without any reasons being recorded.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the Annexure P-10. A reading of the same shows that the appeal has been decided in the cursory manner and does not disclose any cogent reason for dismissing the appeal. It is well settled that while sitting as an Appellate

Authority, it is expected that there would be some reasons recorded as to why the appeal is being dismissed, which valid reasons are lacking in the instant case. Therefore, this Court has no hesitation in setting aside the order dated 25.06.2015 (Annexure P-10) and remanding the matter back to the Appellate Authority to take a decision on the appeal in accordance with law.

Let the appeal be decided within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands allowed accordingly.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

27.02.2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No