

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 24841 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 5.12.2017

Rishi Pal

.. Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurbinder Singh Gill**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop and Mr. Manoj Dhankhar, Assistant
Advocate Generals, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Affidavit of Satish Yadav, Land Acquisition Collector, Urban
Estate, Gururgram, dated 3.12.2017, filed in Court, is taken on record.

The petitioner has approached this Court claiming that the acquisition of land comprised in Khasra No. 463 (0-10) in village Khandsa, District Gurugram, has lapsed in view of the provisions of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short “the 2013 Act”), as neither the possession of the acquired land has been taken nor the compensation thereof has been paid. It was submitted that notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the 1894 Act'), were issued on 16.12.1988 and 14.12.1989, respectively. Award was announced by the Land Acquisition Collector on 12.12.1991.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Satish Yadav, Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate, Gurugram, submitted that the land forming part of *khasra no. 463 (0-10)*, regarding which the petitioner is claiming lapsing of acquisition was not part of the acquisition for which notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act was issued on 16.12.1988.

Keeping in view the aforesaid fact, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

5.12.2017
vs

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No