

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1.

CWP No.20273 of 2017
Date of decision: 07.09.2017
- Sheo Chand

... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
2.

CWP No.20274 of 2017
- Kashmiri Lal

... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
3.

CWP No.20275 of 2017
- Ran Singh

... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
4.

CWP No.20276 of 2017
- Satish Kumar

... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
5.

CWP No.20285 of 2017
- Rakesh Kumar

... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
6.

CWP No.20286 of 2017
- Shiv Narayan

... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

7.	CWP No.20287 of 2017
Jagdish	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
8.	CWP No.20288 of 2017
Ram Chand	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
9.	CWP No.20289 of 2017
Bahadur Chand	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
10.	CWP No.20291 of 2017
Banwari Lal	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
11.	CWP No.20292 of 2017
Kali Dass @ Karam Chand	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
12.	CWP No.20293 of 2017
Om Parkash	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents

13.

CWP No.20294 of 2017

Inder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
14.

CWP No.20295 of 2017

Mahesh Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
15.

CWP No.20296 of 2017

Mahesh Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
16.

CWP No.20297 of 2017

Ram Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
17.

CWP No.20298 of 2017

Naresh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents
18.

CWP No.20299 of 2017

Krishana Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

19.	CWP No.20300 of 2017
Sahi Ram	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
20.	CWP No.20301 of 2017
Chander Kalan and others	... Petitioners
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
21.	CWP No.20302 of 2017
Harbhagwan and another	... Petitioners
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
22.	CWP No.20303 of 2017
Onkar Chand	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
23.	CWP No.20304 of 2017
Zile Singh	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents
24.	CWP No.20305 of 2017
Rameshwar	... Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	... Respondents

25. CWP No.20306 of 2017
- Shanta Rani and another ... Petitioners
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
26. CWP No.20307 of 2017
- Shyakori Devi and others ... Petitioners
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
27. CWP No.20308 of 2017
- Ram Niwas ... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others ... Respondents
28. CWP No.20309 of 2017
- Ranjna Rani ... Petitioner
- Versus
- State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate, present in court, accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and respondents No.2 to 5, respectively.

For the nature of order, I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) is indeed necessary on

behalf of the respondents, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, petitions are being disposed of finally.

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing the respondents to issue an instrument of annuity to the petitioners on account of acquisition of their land in accordance with R.R. Policy dated 07.12.2007 (Annexure P1) and other benefits. It is urged that the petitioners had even represented to the respondent authorities in this regard, but to no avail.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners points out that after institution of these petitions, he has received a letter dated 14.07.2017, from the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate Department Haryana, Hisar (respondent No.5), in response to the notice the respondents were served with. He produced the said letter and the same is taken on record as Mark-‘A’. It shows that annuity for the year 2015, as regards the claimants whose land was situated in Village Matana, had since been disbursed vide office memo Nos.5342, 5343 & 5344, dated 03.11.2016. And, although the annuity qua Village Basti Bhiwan was ready to be credited in the accounts of the land owners, but the account was attached pursuant to the orders passed by the Additional District Judge, Hisar. Resultantly, the requisite amount could not be transferred. Further a demand for annuity for the year 2016 had already been raised to the Chief Controller of Finance, HUDA, Panchkula and the disbursements shall be made as soon as the amount is received from the office of respondent No.4. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that owing to the delay in payment of annuity, the petitioners are rather entitled to be granted suitable interest.

In response, learned State counsel, on telephonic instructions from Sh. Rajesh Jindal, Chief Controller Finance, HUDA, Panchkula,

submits that the necessary formalities to disburse the amount were on the verge of completion. And the requisite amount shall be released to the petitioners within four weeks from today.

The petitions are accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

The petitioners shall be at liberty to represent the respondents as regards the interest on delayed payment of their claims. And, if indeed, any such representations are made, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law.

September 7, 2017
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO