

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-23803-2016

Date of decision: 16.02.2017

Ravinder Jain and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia* seeking quashing of impugned reply/rejection dated 26.09.2016 (Annexure P-12) and the possession notice dated 22.09.2016 (Annexure P-15).
2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that inadvertently certain relevant documents have not been appended with the present petition. Accordingly, a prayer has been made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action by furnishing better particulars.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

February 16, 2017
rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No