

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20219 of 2017

Date of Decision: September 07, 2017

Surender Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners are seeking a step up of their pay to the level of their juniors in terms of the judgment of this Court in CWP no.11254 of 2010, decided on 15.05.2013, titled as **Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others.**

In respect of their grievance, the petitioners are stated to have got served a legal notice upon the respondents, a copy of which has been annexed as Anenxure P-6 with the petition; however, no decision has been taken so far.

On a specific query, learned counsel submits that no representation of the petitioners has been rejected earlier.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment of this Court in Neelam Rani (supra), within a period of 4 months from the date of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed.

It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed now, annexing therewith detailed order already passed (if any).

September 07, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	no