

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP No.20208 of 2017

Date of decision: 08.09.2017

Prem Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner claims consideration for the post of Constable in the Haryana Police and issue the videography of the physical test of one Muni Raj. Prayer has also been made to see the videography of the physical test as it is the allegation that the said Muni Raj, who is a co-villager, reached after the petitioner at the finish point and therefore, he should have been awarded more marks.

It is the claim of the petitioner that he belongs to BC-B category and had applied for the post of Constable and secured 61.75 marks in the said category but the cut-off is 61.90 and therefore, he has not made the grade. Resultantly, the above-said prayer has been made.

A perusal of the result (Annexure P-2) would go on to show that in the Physical Screening Test (PST) was held from 15.06.2016 to 23.07.2016 and he had run the race in 22.30.679 minutes on 30.06.2016 and was awarded 12 marks whereas Muni Raj had ran the same in lesser time on the same date and had clocked the requisite mileage in 21.39.616 minutes and had been awarded 13 marks. The claim, now, to see the videography on the ground that he had reached later, is, thus, of no basis once Annexure P-2 specifically shows the time which is recorded down to the last second.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112 CWP No.20208 of 2017

-2-

Even otherwise, the PST had been challenged and the said benefit has been extended at one stage by the Division Bench in September, 2016 and the petitioner chose not to approach this Court, at that stage. It is a matter of fact that after the interview, the result has been declared in June, 2017. The petitioner has, thus, approached this Court at a belated stage and the present writ petition is liable not be entertained on this account also.

Dismissed in limine.

08.09.2017
Sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*