

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 17.02.2017

CWP No.23746 of 2016

Nirmal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Imran Ahmad, Advocate, for
Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner represented through his counsel on instructions says that he would be satisfied if a direction be issued to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take up the representations Annexures P-3 & P-5 dated 14.10.2015 and 22.03.2016 respectively and decide the same as per rules.

The claim of the petitioner is that persons junior to him are in receipt of higher basic pay and grade pay than him and no action has been taken so far on the representations.

The prayer is fair and just. The view of the respondents is not known as to why the claim is not being addressed or satisfied. Therefore, a direction is issued to respondents No.1 to 3 to cause the competent authority to finalise the matter and pass an order declaring the rights of the petitioner and convey the same to him within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order either from the Court or from the

petitioner, whichever is earlier. If the petitioner requests for personal hearing, it shall be granted.

With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

17.02.2017
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>