

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Civil Writ Petition No.2373 of 2016
Date of Decision:-08.12.2017**

Vikram Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

Institute of Banking Personnel Selection and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Madan Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.1-IBPS.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J.(Oral)

Matter called twice, none appears for the petitioner.

This matter relates to selection and appointment to the post of Probationary Officers conducted by the Institute of Banking Personnel Selection-respondent No.1. The petitioner is one of the candidates for a post. He has questioned his non-selection. The respondent has filed the detailed reply by way of affidavit dated 18.4.2016 for which petitioner has not filed rejoinder or replication.

Matter is heard.

The respondent No.1 in their affidavit in para 8, it is contended

as under :-

“8. That it is pertinent to note that now the combined scores with respect to Common Recruitment Process under CWE-PO/MT V as per Advertisement was declared on 01.04.2016 and displayed in the public domain (i.e. IBPS website) on 01.04.2016. The Biometric mismatch has no bearing with the result of the petitioner. He was interviewed and marks was awarded as per performance in the interview. The combined result of the petitioner was declared and the combined score for the last successful General-OC candidate under provisional allotment is 37.90 and the petitioner's combined score is 34.50. Hence, as combined score secured by the petitioner is low down in merit, he has not been considered for provisional allotment or for reserve list. Non-cognizance of the grievance of the petitioner cannot be presumed before the declaration of combined scores upon Provisional allotment. The writ petition as such is premature, ill conceived and without any merit.”

Having regard to the last successful General-OC candidate has secured 37.90 scores whereas the petitioner has secured 34.50 scores i.e. lesser than the cut off percentage secured under the GM-OC, thus, the petitioner has not made out a case.

Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

December 08, 2017
Vijay Asija

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No