

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-25309-2014

Date of Decision: May 24, 2017

Bikramjit Singh Patwalia and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.D.S.Patwalia, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Gaurav Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Suvir Sehgal, Sr.Standing Counsel with
Mr.Daman Dhir, Advocate
for UT Admn., Chandigarh.
Ms.Munisha Gandhi, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Viraj Gandhi, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

Memo dated 24.05.2017 addressed to Mr.Suvir Sehgal, learned
Senior Standing Counsel for UT, Chandigarh, is taken on record.

[2] In the instant writ petition the petitioners have laid challenge to
the decision contained in Memo dated 25.09.2007 of the Chandigarh
Administration vide which multi-storey flats of Type-I, Type-II and Type-IV
categories were decided to be constructed at the open area available behind
the newly constructed five houses for the Judges of the High Court.

[3] This Court vide orders dated 19.01.2017 and March 27, 2017 persuaded the Chandigarh Administration to revisit its earlier decision and consider the need based requirement for construction of more houses for Judges of the High Court, keeping in view the total sanctioned strength.

[4] The Chandigarh Administration has come up with a very fair decision as contained in the Memo dated 24.05.2017 addressed by the Chief Architect, Department of Urban Planning, to the learned Senior Standing Counsel of UT, Chandigarh, whereby concurrence has been accorded by the Administrator, UT, Chandigarh, for replanning of 9 plots for Judges residences (including 5 existing houses).

[5] In this view of the matter, no further directions are required to be issued in this writ petition which has become infructuous.

[6] Ordered accordingly.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

May 24, 2017
meenuss

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable ?	Yes/No