

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20071 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 06, 2017**

BALDEV SINGH

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Goraya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, certiorari or any writ order or direction to declare the age of retirement of physical handicapped persons like petitioner 60 not 58 years; to treat the date of retirement of the petitioner as 31.03.2009 instead of 31.03.2007 vide order dated 15.03.2017 (P-1) and to quash (P-1) in view of decision in LPA No.307 of 2012 (P-3) as well as to direct respondents No. 1 and 2 to grant the same and similar benefits in terms of arrears, revision of pension along with arrears in the same manner as has been granted to respondent No.5 vide Annexure P-4.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned counsel for the petitioner is advised to supply requisite number of copies of the paper book to learned State counsel, during the course of the day.

4. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide demand notice dated 08.08.2017 (Annexure P-5) in view of LPA No.307 of 2012 (Annexure P-3), within a stipulated period.

5. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Food Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Department, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his demand notice dated 08.08.2017 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same, particularly keeping in view the observations made by this Court in LPA No. 1719 of 2011, captioned as ***“State of Punjab and others v. Bhupinder Singh”*** as well as Rules and Instructions applicable to the petitioner, by passing a speaking order, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. However, in case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by respondent No.2, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 06, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***