

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20067 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 06, 2017

NACHHATAR SINGH

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of military service rendered during the Second National Emergency towards pension as held by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.17661 of 2013, captioned as ***“Rajinder Singh v. State of Punjab and others”*** and in the connected writ petitions on 13.11.2014 (Annexure P-5) and to give all the consequential benefits arising therefrom such as arrears of pension etc.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned

counsel for the petitioner is advised to supply requisite number of copies of the paper book to learned State counsel, during the course of the day.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-6) was moved to the respondents but till date no action has been taken. He submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-6), within a stipulated period.

5. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-6) and decide the same, particularly keeping in view the observations made by this Court in CWP No. 324 of 2016, captioned as ***“Ex-Corporal Sukhvinder Singh Sekhon and others v. State of Punjab and another”*** (Annexure P-7), by passing a speaking order, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. However, in case, the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by respondent(s), he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 06, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***