

CWP No. 20066 of 2017

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 06, 2017

AARTI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents release insurance amount of ₹3,00,000/- with interest on account of compensation and damages in favour of her, as her husband died in an accident on November 07, 2013.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though respondents have earlier denied the benefits claimed by the petitioner through instant petition vide letter dated February 26, 2014 (Annexure P-5) but subsequently, vide letter dated February 17, 2016 (Annexure P-6) Insurance Company have reconsidered the matter and showed their intention to settle the claim, subject to furnishing certain documents reflected therein. Vide letter dated April 19, 2016 (Annexure P-7), the requisite documents as desired by the concerned authority were submitted but till date the matter has not been finalized.

3. He further submits that instant petition be treated as representation and a direction is issued to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the same within a stipulated time.

4. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider the various aspects unfolded by the petitioner in the instant petition and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, that too after hearing the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order.

5. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 06, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*