

115 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20057 of 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 06, 2017**

LAKHMI CHAND

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No. 2 to 4 to release the pending retiral benefits to the petitioner who stood retired as Junior Engineer on January 30, 2017.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representations dated June 01 and June 20, 2017 (Annexures P-1 and P-2) were moved by the petitioner to the respondents but till date neither any reply nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the same within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representations (Annexures P-1 and P-2) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case respondents No.2 and 3 come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed by him, to release the same within next 45 days. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 06, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***