

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**110**

**CWP No.19981 of 2017**

**Date of decision: 05.09.2017**

Ajmer Sheokand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA**

Present: Mr.Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner seeks directions to the respondent-Commission to verify and confirm the educational qualifications of candidates who have been called for the interview for the post of PGT (Computer Science) regarding their Degree in Masters of Computer Application through regular mode instead of through distance education, in terms of the rules and advertisement.

It is the case of counsel for the petitioner that in certain cases, the above-said degrees are issued by the Universities which do not mention the mode of education whether it has been done by way of regular mode. Therefore, the verification should be done prior to calling the candidates for interview, since the essential qualifications provide that regular course has to be done of M.Sc. (Computer Science) or in Masters of Computer Application, which are of 2 years and 3 years, respectively. Reliance is placed upon the judgment of the Division Bench in CWP-19126-2015 titled *Sunil Sharma & others Vs. State of Haryana & others*, decided on 01.10.2015 (Annexure P-3), wherein candidates who had done the said course by way of distance education had approached the Court pleading that on account of the fact that they were at par, they should also be considered, which was declined and the said order was further upheld by the Apex Court.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**110**

**CWP No.19981 of 2017**

**-2-**

Counsel submits that a written notice (Annexure P-9) has been served upon the Commission, that before holding of the interview, an undertaking should be taken from the candidates and the degree should be duly verified.

After hearing the arguments put forth by the counsel, this Court is of the opinion that the present writ petition is based on speculation and mere apprehension, at this stage. It is needless to say that the recruiting agency shall take due care and put necessary conditions and due verification should be done wherever it recommends the candidates for appointment that they fulfill the necessary qualifications. It is for this purpose candidates 2 times the number of candidates are being called. In normal circumstances, a wait list is always prepared to ensure that the candidates who are filtered out in the verification process and the vacancies left by them are filled up by the candidates in the wait-list and thus, the apprehension of the petitioner, at this stage, is not liable to be entertained. The said suggestion otherwise would only further delay the recruitment process. Even otherwise, the petitioner has no vested right of appointment and only a right of consideration.

Writ petition stands dismissed, accordingly.

05.09.2017

*Sailesh*

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*                      *Yes/No*

*Whether Reportable:*                              *Yes/No*