

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 23541 of 2016
Date of decision: 12.12.2017**

Rampal and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.3-HSIIDC.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly points out that as the Land Acquisition Collector, Panipat (respondent No.2), has decided the application moved by the petitioners under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, nothing substantive survives in this petition. He submits that let the petition be disposed of as having become infructuous, to enable the petitioners to avail further remedies as admissible in law.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

Vide order dated 19.1.2017, the Division Bench had directed the respondents to place on record a list of all the pending applications under Section 28-A of the Act before the Land Acquisition Collectors as also the reasons for their non disposal. Concededly, the order has not been complied with.

CWP No. 23541 of 2016

Learned counsel for the respondents pray for a short accommodation to resort to necessary measures and furnish a report in this regard.

Adjourned to 19.1.2018.

12.12.2017
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No